without prejudice.

Record of Interview

Applicants' attorney expresses appreciation for courtesy extended by Examiner Diaz in a telephone interview on 1 March 2005. At that time, it was determined that claims 31-35 should be canceled without prejudice. No discussion or agreement was reached with respected to the claims of Group I remaining in the case.

Conclusion

Applicant urge that the above amendment be entered and the case passed to issue with claims 10, 11, and 20.

The Application is believed to be in condition for allowance and such action by the Examiner is urged. Should differences remain, however, which do not place one/more of the remaining claims in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to phone the undersigned at the number provided below for the purpose of providing constructive

assistance and suggestions in accordance with M.P.E.P. Sections 707.02(j) and 707.03 in order that allowable claims can be presented, thereby placing the Application in condition for allowance without further proceedings being necessary.

Sincerely,

R. F. BARNARD, ET AL.

Ву

Shelle M Beckstrand

Reg. No. 24,886

Date: 1 March 2005

Shelley M Beckstrand, P.C. Attorney at Law 61 Glenmont Road Woodlawn, VA 24381

Phone: (276) 238-1972